CITYOFTORRINGTON

LAND USE OFFICE 140 Main Street • City Hall Torrington, CT 06790-5245



Phone: (860) 489-2221 Fax: (860) 496-5928 www.torringtonct.org

To: Inland Wetlands Commission

From: Nate Nardi-Cyrus, Assistant City Planner/Wetlands Agent

Subject: Application WC24-02-01, Lelah Campo, 232 Klug Hill Road. Application

for restoration of wetland areas along entrance driveway, relocation of silt fence where installed in wetland areas, and removal of areas of sediment

deposit beyond construction silt fence.

Date: February 13, 2024

The City of Torrington's Inland Wetlands and Watercourses Commission (the "Commission"), has received an application for activities requiring a permit from the Commission based on state statute and local inland wetlands regulations. In regard to this application, at this meeting the Commission must:

- 1. Make a motion to formally accept the application to begin review
- 2. Make a determination whether the activities proposed in this application constitute a significant impact to wetlands or watercourses under the terms of Section 2.1 of the regulations. Alternatively, the Commission has the option of scheduling a site walk of the property and tabling the determination of significant impact to the next commission meeting.

As staff, I have conducted an initial review of the submitted materials and conducted a site inspection of the property regarding review of potential significant impacts with respect to section 2.1 of the regulations. Based on my review of the site and available materials, I offer the following staff recommendation based on the following standards contained within the regulations:

1. Any activity involving deposition or removal of material which will or may have a substantial effect on the wetland or watercourse or on wetlands or watercourses outside the area for which the activity is proposed.

The application proposes the restoration of wetland soils and watercourses that were disturbed in violation of permits WC22-03-03 and WC22-11-01.

2. Any activity which substantially changes the natural channel or may inhibit the

natural dynamics of a watercourse system.

The application proposes the restoration of wetland soils and watercourses that were disturbed in violation of permits WC22-03-03 and WC22-11-01.

3. Any activity which substantially diminishes the natural capacity of an inland wetland or watercourse to: support aquatic, plant or animal life and habitats; prevent flooding; supply water; assimilate waste; facilitate drainage; provide recreation or open space; or perform other functions.

In my opinion, this proposed project will restore the processes and functions of these wetlands that were lost because of wetlands violations.

4. Any activity which is likely to cause or has the potential to cause substantial turbidity, siltation or sedimentation in a wetland or watercourse.

Based on my review, the erosion and sedimentation control measures appear to be acceptable for the level of proposed disturbance to the upland review and wetland areas on the subject property, subject to review by the City Engineering Dept.

5. <u>Any activity which causes substantial diminution of flow of a natural watercourse</u> or groundwater levels of the wetland or watercourse.

It appears from my review of submitted plan that there will be no substantial change to surface flows or groundwater, subject to review by the City Engineering Dept.

6. Any activity which is likely to cause or has the potential to cause pollution of a wetland or watercourse.

It appears from my review of submitted plan that there will be no additional pollution to surface flows or groundwater, subject to review by the City Engineering Dept.

7. Any activity which damages or destroys unique wetland or watercourse areas or such areas having demonstrable scientific or educational value.

There are no Natural Diversity Database (NDDB) records in the project area nor any records of sensitive or unique habitats on the subject property. There are no conservation or preservation easements or restrictions requiring review prior to IWC application review. No unique habitats have been identified in the project area.

In consideration of the above, I recommend that the commission make a motion that this proposed project is <u>not</u> a significant activity, and as such, does not require a public hearing for the application.

Please note that this memo represents the staff opinion of the Wetlands Agent/ Assistant City Planner only.