Lona Kirk

From:

Jeremy Leifert

Sent:

Wednesday, May 25, 2022 1:30 PM

To:

Lona Kirk

Subject:

FW: 37 Felicity Lane

Lona,

We need to make these comments pert of the record for the Felicity Lane application. I put a hard copy in the file.

Jeremy

From: Laura Johnson < lmj032249@gmail.com > Sent: Wednesday, May 25, 2022 11:31 AM

To: Jeremy Leifert < Jeremy_Leifert@torringtonct.org>

Subject: 37 Felicity Lane

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. Leifert,

Please acknowledge the receipt of my message via the city website portal on May 18, 2022.

In addition, I find the new proposal for storm water management to be inadequate. As there is no detention, the system will be overwhelmed during a major rainfall event. There will be a large amount of fast moving water going over the edge directed into a compromised stream bank. The minimal solution should include picking up at least a portion of that water and piping it down. The best solution is to not allow any water over the edge of the pavement, detain and pipe it down to the stream.

in the cast many figures.

My last comment is about the snow removal. I'm assuming the snow plowing company will push the snow over the guardrails and onto the timber crib retaining wall. Has that additional load been factored into the calculations?

Rarely have I seen a project with so little care for the users, the neighbors or the environment and water. And that most likely will result in a decreased tax base. By accepting a subpar to the current standards proposal the city is accepting liability. The commission should take care that others who maintain or use the applicant's property should not be at risk or bear the responsibility for the applicant's design defects.

Best, Laura

Ps: my previous comments

Mr. Leifert,

In regards to the p&z application for 37 Felicity Lane I believe the applicant and you are in error by not going through inland wetlands. Clearly there is a body of water adjacent to the site and work that falls within 100 feet of it. There should be silt fence in place and erosion blankets put down on the bank because the sediment is washing onto abutting property owners and down into state waters. Just because the work was done without permits it doesn't mean that no erosion controls should be implemented in the meantime while the proper permitting is taking place. There is no excuse. Please take care of this.

Best Regards,

Laura M. Johnson